Research Article GJEE (2019), 1:6



Global Journal of Energy and Environment (DOI:10.28933/GJEE)



INFLUENCE OF CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT ON THE GROWTH RATE OF TWO SELECTED ARABLE CROP SPECIES (Zea Mays And Vigna Unguiculata L.)

C.E., Igwe and J.N.,* Azorji

Department of Biological Sciences, Hezekiah University, Umudi-Imo State Department of Environmental Resource Management, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike. Abia State

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen cyanide is the dominant element in cassava mill ef- *Correspondence to Author: fluent with several toxicological implications. Physiochemical N., Azorji analysis was carried out on the soil samples gotten from three Department of Environmental Redifferent cassava tuber processing mills located at Amaoba, source Management, Michael Ok-Umuarigha I, and Umuarigha II in Ikwuano Local Government para University of Agriculture, Umuarea of Abia state. The parameters investigated were pH, nitro- dike, Abia State gen, phosphorous, organic carbon, organic matter; others were the sodium, calcium, potassium and magnesium contents. All the afore-mentioned parameters were also analyzed for control **How to cite this article**: sample. The result showed that there was an increase in soil pH, C.E., Igwe and J.N., Azorji . INFLUnitrogen and magnesium content of the cassava mill soils. The ENCE OF CASSAVA MILL EFFLUeffect on plant growth rate was studied using maize and cowpea ENT ON THE GROWTH RATE OF as test crops. The number of leaves, stem girth and length was TWO SELECTED ARABLE CROP recorded for a period of eight (8) weeks. The result after eight (8) weeks showed that the growth rate of seedlings on the soil of the Unguiculata L.). Global Journal of three different cassava mills was rapid more than that of the con- Energy and Environment, 2019,1:6. trol. This research shows that the cyanide content of the cassava mill effluent had a stimulatory effect on the parameters measured and could serve as an efficient source of nutrient to the soil and thus to crops, making it an alternative to mineral fertilisers.

Keywords: Cassava effluent, Hydrogen cyanide, Zea mays, Vig- eSciPub LLC, Houston, TX USA. na unguiculata L.

SPECIES (Zea Mays And Vigna



1. INTRODUCTION

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz. synonymous with Manihot utilissima Rhol) belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae. It is mainly a food crop whose tubers are harvested between 7-13 months based on the cultivars planted. The tubers are quite carbohydrates (85-90%) with very small amount of protein (1.3%) in addition to cyanogenic aloucoside (Linamarin and Lotaustiallin). (Nwabueze and Odunsi, 2007; Oyewole and Afolami, 2001). This high carbohydrate content makes cassava a major food item especially for the low income earners in most tropical countries especially Africa and Asia (Desse and Taye, 2001; Aderiye and Laleye, 2003). The edible tubers are processed into various forms which include chips, pellets, cakes and flour. The flour could be fried to produce garri or steeped in water to ferment to produce fufu when cooked (Oyewole and Odunfa, 1992; Chukwuka et al., 2013). Cassava is one of the over 3000 types of plants that produce cyanogenic compounds (Kakes, 1990, Vetter, 2000) releases hydrogen cyanide (HCN) upon hydrolysis (McMahon et al., 1995). process of HCN production known as cyanogenesis and makes cassava a potential toxic food to humans (Charles et al.,, 2001).

Fermentation is one of the oldest and most important traditional food processing preservation techniques. Food fermentations involve the use of microorganisms enzymes for the production of foods with distinct quality attributes that are quite different from the original agricultural raw material. The conversion of cassava (Manihot esculenta, Crantz syn. Manihot utilissima Pohl) to garri illustrates the importance of traditional fermentations.

Cassava tubers are rich in starch (20-30%) and, with the possible exception of sugar cane; cassava is considered the highest producer of carbohydrates among crop plants. Without the benefits of modern science, a process for detoxifying cassava roots by converting

potentially toxic roots into garri was developed, presumably empirically, in West Africa. The process involves fermenting cassava pulp from peeled, grated roots in cloth bags and after dewatering, the mash is sifted and fried. Microbial fermentations have traditionally played important roles in food processing for thousands of years. Most marketed cassava products like "gari", "fufu", "pupuru", "apu" etc., in Africa are obtained through fermentation. The importance of fermentation in cassava processing is based on its ability to reduce the cyanogenic glucosides to relatively insignificant Unlike alcoholic fermentation, biochemistry and microbiology only superficially understood, but it is believed that cyanidrophilic/cyanide some tolerant microorganisms effects breakdown of cyanogenic glucoside. Generally, fermented cassava products store better and often are low residual cyanide content. The highly offensive odor emanating from the fermenting effluent calls for regulation in the discharge of the waste generated (Adewoye, et al,2005). Cassava mill effluent can cause various environmental problems ranging from pollution through the generation of offensive odor, soil degradation, also causing illness by promoting the breed of mosquito in most areas. cassava mills are mainly on small scale basis, owned and managed by individuals who have no basic knowledge of environmental protection. Though on small scale basis, there are many of them, which when put together, create enormous impact on the environment. This work therefore is aimed at assessing the effect of cassava mill effluents on physicochemical properties of the soil and its effect on the growth rate of arable cereals (maize and cowpea).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS STUDY AREA

The study was conducted by random collection of soil samples from three selected cassava mills (Amaoba, Umuarigha I and Umuarigha II) within Ikwuano L.G.A of Abia State.

Ikwuano is a local government area with its headquarters at Isiala Oboro. It has an area of 218km² and population of 137,933.Ikwuano falls with latitude of 050 270 N and longitude of 070 340E. It is characterized by bimodal rainfall, high temperature 290-320 with relative humility. The people are known for agricultural and marketing activities while the soil texture is sandy loamy.

3. SAMPLE COLLECTION/PRELIMINARY SOIL ANALYSIS

Preliminary soil analysis was carried out on both soil samples of the tree (3) different cassava mill sites and control sites, to determine the physicochemical properties of the soil. Soil samples were randomly collected from the three different cassava mill sites at 15cm depth from the top soil; same was done for the control at the Forestry Nursery. The soil samples were air dried and labeled accordingly (Sample A, Sample B, SAMPLE C and CONTROL) before taken to the laboratory for analysis.

4. SEED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND VIABILITY TEST

Seeds (maize and cowpea) were bought from Ndioro market in Ikwuano LGA and viability test was done by placing the seed into a container filled to water for some period of time (5mins) so as to select the one that is fit for the study.

5. NURSERY PLANTING

Twelve (12) poly-pots was filled with 5kg of uncontaminated soil each for corn seeds; same was repeated for the cowpea seeds at the nursery department of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike to stabilize the species before transplanting

6. SOIL SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PREPARATION

Soil was randomly collected from three (3) different sampling cassava mill sites at 15cm depth from the top soil where evidently shown cassava effluent discharge. 18 poly-pots was filled with 5kg of the soil from the three (3) different cassava mill sites [six(6) for each sites].another set of 6poly-pots was filled with 5kg of garden soils(uncontaminated soil)which served as control for the experiment.

7. SEEDLING TRANSPLANTING TO THE TREATMENT POLY-POTS

After two (2) weeks of planting at the nursery department, the seedlings of each species were transplanted into the experimental poly-pots according to their soil profiles. The experimental setup was watered at two (2)Days interval, while poly-pots was perforated at the base to avoid water logging, the experimental was allowed for a period of eight (8)weeks.

8. METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION/ANALYSIS

The plants height and stem girth was measured in weekly intervals using a tape and number of leaves was counted and recorded. The experiment was laid out in a complete randomize block design (CRBD) with four (4) replicates and three (3) treatments. The result which was obtained was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean separation was done using fisher LSD at 0.05% probability two way mean value was subjected to studentized T-test which showed that plants planted on a cassava mill soils had a higher growth rate than those of the normal garden soil.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION RESULTS

TABLE 1: ANALYSIS FOR COWPEA LENGTH

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK 7	WK 8
CONTROL	11.40±1.10 ^b	14.93±0.90a	18.30±1.11a	21.73±0.25a	24.30±0.35b	28.40±1.13 ^b	31.80±0.53b	35.17 ± 0.15 ^b
SAMPLE A	10.53±0.76°	14.43±0.60°	17.70±1.04°	21.10±1.35°	23.53±0.84°	27.63 ± 1.18°	31.30 ± 0.30°	$35.00 \pm 0.40^{\circ}$
SAMPLE B	10.27±3.16 ^d	14.37±2.61 ^d	18.13±1.96 ^b	21.63±1.99 ^b	25.07±1.10 ^a	29.20 ± 1.65 ^a	32.73 ± 1.63 ^a	37.03 ± 2.42a

SAMPLE C	11.77±2.04a	14.60±2.76 ^b	16.70±3.40d	18.40±3.31d	20.67±2.96d	23.40± 3.04d	25.90 ± 4.27^{d}	27.93 ± 4.91^{d}
OAIVII LL C	11.77 12.04	14.0012.70	10.7013.40	10.4013.31	20.07 12.30	23.40± 3.04	20.30 ± 4.21	21.33 ± 4.31

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

The result (Table 1) of the plant (Cowpea) of the plant length shows that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) from the length of control test crop during the period of the first week to the fourth week, this is attributed to the higher resistance of cowpea.

From the fifth week to the eight week result shown that there was significant differences in the plant samples from the control which is accounted for the rapid growth in acidic solid.

TABLE 2: ANALYSIS FOR COWPEA STEM GIRTH

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK 7	WK8
CONTROL	2.03 ± 0.25b	3.10 ± 0.00a	3.57 ± 0.25°	4.30 ± 0.01a	4.57 ± 0.06 ^b	4.83 ± 0.25 ^b	5.30 ± 0.00°	5.60 ± 0.00°
SAMPLE A	1.87 ± 0.12^{d}	3.00 ± 0.17 ^b	3.67 ± 0.12 ^b	4.13 ± 0.29°	4.40 ± 0.17°	4.77 ± 0.29°	5.33 ± 0.58 ^b	5.60 ± 0.00^{b}
SAMPLE B	$2.00 \pm 0.50^{\circ}$	2.80 ± 0.50^{d}	3.67 ± 0.40^a	4.27 ± 0.29b	4.73 ± 0.12a	5.00 ± 0.36^{a}	5.40 ± 0.17^{a}	5.67 ± 0.12a
SAMPLE C	2.03 ± 0.40a	2.97 ± 0.29°	3.50 ± 0.53^{d}	3.73 ± 0.51 ^d	4.10 ± 0.50 ^d	4.43 ± 0.23^{d}	4.67 ± 0.21d	4.97 ± 0.29^{d}

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

The result (Table 2) show that stem girth (cowpea) had no significant difference from the first week to the fourth week, from the fifth week

to the eight week result recorded that there was a significant difference in growth rate of the stem girth of the samples from the control.

TABLE 3: ANALYSIS FOR COWPEA NUMBER OF LEAVES

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK7	WK 8
CONTROL	6.00±1.73bc	9.00 ± 1.73 ^b	12.00±1.00 ^b	15.33±1.53 ^b	18.33±1.15 ^b	21.33 ± 0.58°	24.67 ± 0.58°	28.67 ± 0.58°
SAMPLE A	6.00±1.73bc	$7.33 \pm 3.79^{\circ}$	11.00±4.00°	14.33±4.51°	18.00±4.00°	21.67±3.06 ^b	25.33 ± 3.51a	28.67 ± 2.89 ^b
SAMPLE B	7.00 ± 1.73^{a}	10.33±1.15 ^a	13.00±1.00a	16.33±1.53a	19.00±1.00a	21.67 ± 1.53 ^a	25.00 ± 1.00b	28.67 ± 1.15 ^a
SAMPLE C	6.00 ± 1.73 ^b	7.00 ± 1.00^{d}	9.00 ± 0.00^{d}	8.67 ± 1.53 ^d	11.33±0.58d	15.33±0.58d	17.33 ± 1.15 ^d	19.67 ± 1.53 ^d

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

The result (Table 3) of the number of leaves (cowpea) show that there was no significant difference from the control during the period of the first week to third week, the growth rate of the number of leaves were same thing this

weeks, from the fourth week to the eight week, the result shoed that there was a significant difference from the control, the three samples produced more leave than that of the control.

TABLE 4: ANALYSIS FOR MAIZE LENGTH

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK 7	WK 8
CONTROL	11.07±0.90a	14.43±0.59 ^a	18.30±1.61a	23.13±2.87a	27.63±3.35a	31.67 ± 2.93 ^a	35.27 ± 2.73a	37.27 ± 2.02a

SAMPLE A	8.87 ± 1.63 ^d	12.53±1.48 ^d	15.37±1.46°	19.70±0.96°	23.10±1.35°	27.07 ± 1.92°	31.13 ± 2.72°	$33.83 \pm 3.29^{\circ}$
SAMPLE B	10.47±0.92°	14.13±0.76 ^b	18.00±1.00 ^b	21.43±1.56 ^b	24.40±1.66b	28.30±1.93b	31.90 ± 2.79b	36.27 ± 2.19 ^b
SAMPLE C	10.57±0.40b	12.93±0.40°	15.17±1.23d	16.77±1.57 ^d	19.57±1.59 ^d	22.33± 0.65d	24.63 ± 1.44 ^d	27.50 ± 1.57 ^d

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

The result (Table 4) of the plant (maize) length shows that that there was a significant difference (P>0.05) between the growth rate of the samples from the control for the period of

the first week to the eight week. Rapid growth rate recorded is attributed to increase of soil nutrients by the cyanide content.

TABLE 5: ANALYSIS FOR MAIZE STEM GIRTH

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK 7	WK 8
CONTROL	2.60 ± 0.17 ^a	3.00 ± 0.17 ^a	3.57 ± 0.25 ^a	4.20 ± 0.10 ^a	4.83 ± 0.25 ^a	5.13 ± 0.15 ^a	5.83 ± 0.25 ^a	6.47 ± 0.12 ^a
SAMPLE A	2.20 ± 0.53^{d}	2.70 ± 0.35°	3.17 ± 0.12^d	3.93 ± 0.15°	4.30 ± 0.00°	4.77 ± 0.15°	$5.33 \pm 0.46^{\circ}$	$5.90 \pm 0.53^{\circ}$
SAMPLE B	2.37 ± 0.40^{b}	2.90 ± 0.17 ^b	3.47 ± 0.29^{b}	4.00 ± 0.17b	4.50 ± 0.17 ^b	4.90 ± 0.17^{b}	5.53 ± 0.38 ^b	6.10 ± 0.44 ^b
SAMPLE C	2.27 ± 0.25°	2.53 ± 0.06 ^d	3.29 ± 0.10°	3.90 ± 0.17^{d}	3.90 ± 0.17 ^d	4.33 ± 0.06^{d}	4.57 ± 0.06^{d}	4.70 ± 0.10^{d}

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

Result (Table 5) show that stem girth (maize) had no significant difference on the first week and from the third week to the eight week there

was a significant difference in the stem girth of the samples from the control.

TABLE 6: ANALYSIS FOR MAIZE NUMBER OF LEAVES

TREATMENTS	WK 1	WK 2	WK 3	WK 4	WK 5	WK 6	WK7	WK 8
CONTROL	4.00 ± 0.00^{a}	5.00 ± 0.00a	6.00 ± 1.00b	7.00 ± 0.00a	7.67 ± 0.58°	9.33 ± 0.58 ^a	9.33 ± 0.58a	10.33 ± 1.15 ^a
SAMPLE A	3.33 ± 0.58^{d}	4.00 ± 1.00°	$5.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	6.33 ± 0.58°	8.00 ±1.00a	9.00 ± 1.73 ^b	9.00 ± 1.73 ^b	$9.67 \pm 2.08^{\circ}$
SAMPLE B	$3.33 \pm 0.58^{\circ}$	4.33 ± 0.58b	6.00 ± 0.00^{a}	6.67 ± 0.58 ^b	7.67 ± 0.58 ^b	8.67 ± 1.53°	8.67 ± 1.53°	10.00 ± 1.00 ^b
SAMPLE C	3.33 ± 0.58 ^b	3.67 ± 0.58^{d}	4.33 ± 0.58^{d}	5.33 ± 0.58^{d}	6.00 ± 1.73^{d}	7.00 ± 1.73^{d}	7.00 ± 1.73^{d}	8.00 ± 1.00^{d}

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II

The result (Table 6) of the number of leaves (maize) shows that in the first week, there was no significant difference (p<0.05). There was a significant difference from the second week to

the fourth week, the growth rate of the leaves was high. The fifth week to the eight week, the growth rate of the number of leaves show that there was no significant difference.

TABLE 4.7: PRELIMINARY SOIL ANALYSIS

TREAT MENTS	SOIL pH	Р	N	ОС	ОМ	Ca	Mg	К	Na	EA	EC	CN
CONTRO L	5.21±0.13	19.73±0.1 1 ^d	0.08±0.00	1.14±0.03	1.92±0.01	7.85±0.21°	2.15±0.21	0.18±0.01	0.33±0.01	0.99±0.01	12.55±0.1 4 ^d	0.00±0.00d

SAMPLE A	6.35±0.71	28.50±0.7 1ª	0.20±0.00 a	2.83±0.01	4.87± 0.01a	12.75±0.0 7ª	5.85±0.07	0.77±0.01 a	0.53± 0.01ª	0.19± 0.01 ^b	20.05±0.0 1ª	6.80±0.14ª
SAMPLE B	6.75±0.71	27.65±0.2 1°	0.13±0.00 c	1.54±0.01	2.66± 0.02°	7.45 ± 0.07°	4.70±0.14	0.47±0.01	0.38± 0.01°	0.13± 0.01°	13.17±0.0 1°	5.35±0.07 ^b
SAMPLE C	6.15±0.71	28.15±0.3 5 ^b	0.19±0.00	1.85±0.01	3.18± 0.01 ^b	9.65± 0.07 ^b	5.30±0.14	0.67±0.01	0.42± 0.00 ^b	0.23± 0.01 ^a	16.23±0.1 3 ^b	4.900±0.1 4°

a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II P = Phosphorus N = Nitrogen OC = Organic Carbon OM = Organic Matter Ca = Calcium Mg = Magnesium K = Pottasium Na = Sodium EA = Exchangeable Acidity EC = Electrical Conductivity

CN = Cyanide

The result (Table 7) shows that the soil samples had a significant difference (p>0.05)

from the control in all soil properties there were analyzed.

TABLE 8: POST-SOIL ANALYSIS

TREAT MENTS	SOIL pH	Р	N	ОС	ОМ	Ca	Mg	К	Na	EA	EC	CN
CONTR	4.89±0.0	15.26±0.6	0.02±0.0	0.63±0.0	1.63±0.0	5.31±0.0	1.41±0.0	0.08±0.0	0.23±0.0	0.62±0.0	9.14±0.01	0.00±0.0
OL	2 ^d	4 ^d	1 ^d	3 ^d	2°	1°	1 ^d	0 ^d	1°*	1 ^{c*}		0 ^d
SAMPLE	5.85±0.0	25.85±0.7	0.14±0.0	2.68±0.0	4.62±0.0	9.75±0.7	4.25±0.7	0.58±0.0	0.38±	0.75±0.1	15.60±0.1	4.70±0.1
A	7 ^b	1ª	0 ^a	1ª	0 ^a	1ª	1 ^b	1ª	0.00a	4ª	4ª	4ª
SAMPLE	5.80±0.1	23.40±0.7	0.08±0.0	0.85±0.0	1.45±0.0	5.25±0.7	3.80±0.1	0.32±0.0	0.21±0.0	0.54±0.4	10.48±0.1	4.20±0.2
B	4°	1°	0°	1°	0 ^{d*}	1 ^{d*}	4°	1°	0 ^{d*}	6 ^{d*}	4°	5°
SAMPLE	5.85±0.7	24.85±0.7	0.10±0.0	1.04±0.0	1.78±	6.85±	4.45±0.7	0.49±0.1	0.26±	0.72±0.0	12.62±0.7	4.55±0.3
C	1 ^a	1 ^b	0 ^b	1 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.71 ^b	1 ^a	4 ^b	0.01 ^b	0 ^b	8 ^b	5 ^b

KEYWORDS: a, b, c, d= Means with same superscript is not significantly different at P= 0.005 level of significance CONTROL = Uncontaminated soil SAMPLE A = Cassava mill soil from Amaoba SAMPLE B = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha I SAMPLE C = Cassava mill soil from Umuarigha II P = Phosphorus N = Nitrogen OC = Organic Carbon OM = Organic Matter Ca = Calcium Mg = Magnesium K = Pottasium a = Sodium EA = Exchangeable Acidity EC = Electrical Conductivity CN = Cyanide

The result (Table 8) shows that there was a significant difference (p>0.05) from the control in the soil properties that were analyzed.

9. DISCUSSION

EVALUATION OF THE SOIL PHYSIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

The present result obtained from preliminary soil analysis show a pH soil with mean of (6.12±0.61) for the samples which was less acidic to that of the control with mean (5.21±0.13). This indicates that the soil pH of the control is more acidic than that of the samples, there is no doubt this is due to the presence of hydrogen cyanide present in the cassava effluent that has been continuously discharged to the soil. According to Ogboghodo et al., 2001, an increase in the soil pH level account for the increase in the nutrient content

of the soil. The present result shows that exchangeable base (Na, Ca, Mg and K) and other soil nutrients; organic carbon, phosphorus had an increase value than that of the control, this is predicted to the discharge of cassava effluent which increase the soil pH, microbial population and also microbial activities in the soil which lead to the increase in the soil nutrient content.

The result of the post soil analysis shows that there was a decrease in the soil pH value of both the soil samples and the control which proportionally lead to the decrease in the nutrient content of both the samples and the control. This is credited to the plant uptake of hydrogen cyanide that contributed to the high growth performance of the test crops. Also some physical and natural factors such rainfall, temperature, wind contributed to decrease of

the hydrogen cyanide which adversely reduced the soil nutrient content.

10. DETERMINATION OF CASSAVA EFFLUENT IMPACT

In the present result the soil was observed to have an increase in the nutrient content which was attributed to the discharge of cassava effluent on the soil, Similar finding was observed by Ogbohodo *et al.*, 2001.

According to Ogbohodo *et al.*, 2001 cassava effluent has been found to increase the number of organisms in the soil ecosystem which may be associated with an increase in the soil Ph, organic carbon and total nitrogen, cassava effluents contains many nutrients in the order of sodium> potassium>magnesium and iron.

The presence of all these nutrient was observed in a higher concentration in the soil of the three different samples than that of the control the high concentration of this nutrient in the soil is attributed to the growth performance of the test crops. The high nutrient content of the cassava effluent reflected in the general growth of both maize and cowpea. Similar findings have been reported by Ogbohodo *et al.*, (2001)

11. DETERMINATION OF CYANIDE CONCENTRATION

On the present result for preliminary soil analysis shows that there a significant difference in the concentration of hydrogen cyanide of the samples from that of the control. Although there was no significant difference in the hydrogen cyanide concentration of the three different samples. This may be attributed to some physical and natural factors that might have led to the fermentation of the discharged effluent whereby reducing cassava concentration of the hydrogen cyanide in the soil.

The post soil analysis shows that there was a decrease in the concentration of the hydrogen cyanide of the three different samples from that of the control which evidently shows that the test crops made use of the hydrogen cyanide for their high growth performance.

12. DETERMINATION OF GROWTH RATE

The present study made use of two test crops (cowpea and maize), there was an appreciable increase in growth of the crops grown in the soil of the three different samples than that of the control. The growth rate at which the test crops grew was slightly different. The growth rate of cowpea was more rapid than that of the maize. However, there was appreciable increase in growth of all the parameters measure. The result of this experiment is at variant with the report of Olorunfemi *et al.*, (2008) and Ikpe *et al.*, (2009) who observed an inhibitory property of cassava processing effluent on growth properties of *Zea mays*, Sorghum bicolor and Pennisetum americanum.

According to Islam et al., (2006) cowpea was observed to have more tolerant to infertile soils and acidic stress. Samuel et al., (1975) noted that maize performs best in well drained, well aerated, deep warm loams and silt loams. The higher growth rate of cowpea to that of the maize may be attributed to the high tolerance characteristics of cowpea, although maize grow in various type of soils the slow growth rate to the cowpea may be attributed to the type of soil and aeration of the soil. Cyanide had been found to promote the germination of lettuce, amaranthus and lepidium by metabolizing it to cyanoalanine which is in turn converted to asparagine (Mayer and Poljakoff-mayber, 1989). This may be a reasonable interpretation of the stimulatory effect the cassava mill effluent had on the crop species used in this study.

REFREENCES

- Adewole, S.O., Fawole, D.O., Owolabi, O.D., Omotosho, J.S.2005. toxicity of cassava waste water effluents to African catfish: clarias garienpinus. Ethiopian jol. Of sc 28(2)189-194.
- 2. Agbor-Egbe, T. and Mbome, I.L. (2006). The effects of processing techniques in reducing cyanogen levels during the production of some Cameroonian cassava foods. J. Food Compost. Anal., 19: 354-363.

- Desse, U., Taye, M. 2001. Microbial loads and microflora of cassava (Manihot esculentus Crantz) and effects of cassava juice on some food borne pathogens. j.food technol. Afri. 6(1) 21-24
- Charles, N., Fokunanga, P., Tomkin, T., Alfred, Dixon, G.O., Estella, A., Tembe, B., Nukenine, E.N., and Ian, H. 2001. Cyanogenic potential in food crops and its implications in cassava (Manihot esculentus Crantz) production. Pakistan il of boil sc. Vol 4(7)926-930.
- Chukwuka, k.S., Okeckwukwu, R.U., Azorji, J.N. 2013. Farmer perception of cassava bacterial blight disease in Oyo state, south-east, Nigeria. Vol.10 (10) 67-74.
- Ikpe, F., Idungafa, M., Ogburia, M., Ayolagha, G. 2009. Effect of cassava processing effluent on soil properties, growth and yield of maize in south eastern Nigeria. Nig. Jol of soil sc.. vol. 19(2).
- Islam, S; Cowmen, R.C, and Garner, J.O. (2006). Screening for tolerance of stress temperature during germination of twenty-five cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) cultivars. J. Food, Agric. and Environ. 4(2): 189-191
- 8. Kakes, P.1990. properties and functions of the cyanogenic system in higher plants . euphytica :48: 25-43.
- Mayer, A.M., Alexandra, P. 1989. The germination of seeds. Volume five of international series of pure and applied biology. Division, plant physiology.

- Mcmahon, J., White, W., Sayr, R. 1995. Cyanogenesis in cassava (Manihot esculentus Grantz). Jol of expt. Botany. 46: 731-741.
- Nwabueze, T.U., Odunfa, F. 2007. Optimization of process conditions for cassava (Manihot esculentus Crantz) lafun production. AJB. 6(5) 92-99.
- Ogboghodo, I. A, Osenweota, I. O., Eke, S. O and Iribhogbe, A. E. (2001). Effect of cassava (Manihot esculanta Crantz) mill grating effluent on the textual, chemical and biological properties of surrounding soils. World Journal of Biotechnology, 2:292-301.
- 13. Olurunfemi, D.I., Emoefe, S.O., and Okiemen, F.E. 2008. Effects of casve processing effluent on seedling height, biomas and chlorophyll content of some cereals. Res. Joj o env. Sc. 2(3):221-227.
- 14. Oyewole, O.B., Afolami, O.A. 2001.quality and preference of different cassava variety for lafun production. J.food technol.. afri 6(1)27-29
- 15. Oyewole, O.B., Odunfa, S.A. 1992. Microbiological studies on cassava fermentation for lafun production . food micro. 5: 125-133.
- Samuel, R.A., Walter, O.S. and Earl, R.L. (1975).
 Modern Corn Production. 2nd ed. Publ. A and L Publication. USA
- 17. Vetter, J. 2000.plant cyanogenic glycosides. Technicon; 38: 11- 36.

